Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the second most common cause of cancer related deaths in the US (1). Metastatic potential is higher for invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC) than invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) (2). Although BC frequently metastasizes to the lungs and bone, genitalia are rarely involved; and when it does, ovaries are more commonly affected than other sites (3). Even rarer is BC metastasis to the endometrium (4). In English literature, there are approximately 60 recorded cases of BC metastasis to the uterus (4-10) of which only 25 are due to IDC. Thirteen of the latter cases metastasized to the endometrium, many of which were macrometastasis. We present a case of BC micrometastasis (<2 mm focus) to the endometrium found incidentally on an endometrial biopsy for evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB).

We present the following case in accordance with the CARE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/pcm-20-48).
A 46-year-old female with a history of IDC [Nottingham grade 2 (pT1c, N0, M0)] of the left breast status post nipple sparing mastectomy in 2013 and adjuvant tamoxifen therapy from 2013 to 2018, presented with a 3-week history of irregular menstrual bleeding in February 2019. Prior evaluation for AUB was in May 2016, at which time an endometrial biopsy was unremarkable. The patient was managed conservatively and had been amenorrhoeic since November 2016 (Figure 1).

The patient’s past medical history was significant for leiomyomata, endometriosis, left ovarian cyst, and stable right thyroid nodule. Family history was negative for breast or ovarian cancer. The patient was negative for **BRCA1** and **BRCA2** gene mutations.

Physical exam at presentation for AUB revealed unremarkable genitalia except for 10 mL of dark red-brown blood in the vagina. Bimanual exam revealed a large, anteverted uterus, irregular in contour and approximately 14–16 weeks in size. Adnexa were nontender with minimal mobility. Urine pregnancy test was negative. Pap smear was negative for intraepithelial malignancy. An endometrial biopsy revealed a predominantly proliferative endometrium and a 1.5-mm focus of atypical cells seamlessly admixed with the endometrial stroma (Figure 2A). On closer examination, the atypical cells were polygonal and larger than the surrounding stromal cells, contained abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm, enlarged irregular nuclei and variably prominent nucleoli (hematoxylin and eosin stain; 40x (A), 200x (B)).
nucleoli (Figure 2B). Immunohistochemical studies highlighted that the atypical cells were positive for GATA3, E-cadherin, CK7 and mammaglobin (Figure 3) but negative for PAX8 supporting a metastatic carcinoma of mammary origin. Review of prior breast biopsy revealed that the current tumor had vague cytological resemblance to the primary tumor. Following endometrial biopsy findings, a positron emission tomography (PET) scan showed irregularly thickened and fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) avid endometrium, but no activity elsewhere. As a result, the patient underwent a total abdominal hysterectomy (TAH) and bilateral salpingooophorectomy (BSO) in March 2019. The patient tolerated surgery well without any complications.

On gross examination, the uterus measured 12.0 cm × 11.0 cm × 7.0 cm and weighed 330 g. The endometrium was 0.4–1.5 cm thick and irregular. The myometrium was 2.5–4.2 cm thick with multiple circumscribed, rubbery, intramural whorled nodules 0.5–4.5 cm in greatest dimension. The entire endometrium was sampled for histologic examination which revealed a background proliferative endometrium with multiple microscopic foci of atypical cells in a somewhat desmoplastic stroma. The atypical cells were similar to those seen on the most recent endometrial biopsy. The largest tumor focus in the hysterectomy specimen measured 1.6 mm and involved an endometrial polyp. Immunohistochemical studies highlighted that the tumor cells were strongly and diffusely reactive to GATA-3, ER and mammaglobin but non-reactive to P63 and Her2. The morphology together with the immunoprofile supported a multifocal metastatic IDC to the endometrium.

The patient is currently disease free 17 months post TAH and BSO. Patient’s adherence and tolerability to surgery was assessed with post-op visits, treatment compliance, and follow-up surveillance imaging. Following TAH and BSO, the plan for chemotherapy included doxorubicin 60 mg/m² and cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² (ddAC) given every 2 weeks for four doses followed the next day by a dose of pegfilgrastim, in addition to paclitaxel. The patient completed 4 cycles of ddAC and 3 cycles of dose dense paclitaxel regimen (175 mg/m² every 2 weeks) and then began oral letrozole therapy. Follow-up PET CT showed no evidence of recurrent or residual disease. At her most recent follow-up (July 2020) the patient was still compliant with letrozole therapy, felt energetic, and pleased that prompt detection of her metastatic cancer facilitated early and lifesaving treatment.

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee(s) and with the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first reported case of IDC with micrometastasis to the endometrium presenting as AUB. Although AUB was the predominant presenting symptom in prior cases of IDC metastatic to the uterus/endometrium, other symptoms included abdominal pain, abdominal mass, uterine enlargement, and urinary frequency (5-10). Nonetheless, metastatic tumor foci appeared to be larger in these cases enabling easy recognition. In our case, smaller (<2 mm) tumor foci (both on primary endometrial biopsy and subsequent hysterectomy) made it challenging to recognize the tumor cells, underscoring...
that micrometastasis can be easily missed. Although BC patients undergo frequent surveillance via PET or FDG to catch disease recurrence, detection of early uterine metastasis is challenging and a negative surveillance test does not conclusively rule out residual disease elsewhere. Endometrial biopsies may be the only opportunity to catch micrometastatic disease in patients with a history of BC, so when given the opportunity to examine a biopsy specimen from a patient with history of cancer, a higher level of scrutiny is warranted to increase the chances of detecting subtle micrometastasis. Consequently, a thorough histologic examination of the endometrial biopsy is warranted in BC patients with history of AUB.

This case was particularly challenging because individual tumor cells blended seamlessly with the endometrial stroma. Nonetheless, atypical cytomorphology together with the unique immunohistochemical profile of the tumor cells confirmed this to be of mammary origin. At the time of diagnosis, our patient had completed a 5-year course of adjuvant tamoxifen, which should not have increased her risk of primary endometrial cancer because she was perimenopausal (11). Although it is unclear if tamoxifen predisposes the endometrium to metastatic disease, 7/13 patients with IDC metastatic to the endometrium had a history of tamoxifen use (12-18).

A key limitation of this study is that we cannot exclude, with 100% certainty, the possibility of microscopic metastatic disease elsewhere in the body despite negative radiographic studies (PET, FDG etc.). Consequently, frequent follow-up is crucial.

Taken together, our case highlights the fact that early diagnosis of metastatic disease can be lifesaving in BC patients and that pathologists should pay careful attention to the stroma when examining endometrial biopsies from such patients. Because micrometastasis was detected early, our patient was able to undergo a timely hysterectomy.
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